

R^2 and R_{adj}^2

Tests 1 and 2 can be used to evaluate the model's adequacy.

If I do not reject $\beta_j = 0$ for some j , I can remove that covariate

If I do not reject $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_p = 0$, the whole model is useless.

How do I choose between different models?

- I can compare R^2 (larger R^2 means more variability explained)

However, if I use R^2 to compare nested models (i.e. one can be obtained starting from the other by fixing some parameters = 0), R^2 is not a valid measure.

consider (a) $\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 x_i$

(b) $\tilde{y}_i = \tilde{\beta}_1 + \tilde{\beta}_2 x_i + \tilde{\beta}_3 w_i \rightarrow$ I add one covariate

$R^2_{(a)} \leq R^2_{(b)}$ by construction: the SSR of model (b) can not be smaller than SSR(a).

In the worst case (if w_i is really useless), I set $\tilde{\beta}_3 = 0$ and I obtain SSR(a).

The more variables I include in the model, the larger R^2 will be.

In general:

- + covariates $\begin{cases} R^2 \text{ increases} \\ \text{less interpretable} \\ \text{overfit} \end{cases}$

- covariates $\begin{cases} \text{parsimony} \\ \text{interpretable} \end{cases}$

of course, we want few covariates, but not too few!

• ADJUSTED R^2 $R_{\text{adj}}^2 = 1 - (1-R^2) \cdot \frac{n-1}{n-p}$

it is "adjusted" for the model dim. p

penalizes models with many covariates.

when I introduce a new covariate:

- R^2 can remain the same or increase

R_{adj}^2 can increase, remain the same, or decrease

\downarrow
 R_{adj}^2 can be < 0!