

GAUSS-MARKOV THEOREM

We have seen that we can derive the estimate $\hat{\beta}$ both as a maximization of the likelihood under the Gaussian linear model assumption (ML estimate) and as a minimization of the sum of squares (OLS estimate), without the need to specify a distribution (and only using conditions on the first two moments).

We consider now the second framework \rightarrow remove the distributive assumption.

- Assume that:
- 1) $\underline{Y} = \underline{X}\underline{\beta} + \underline{\varepsilon}$ linearity
 - 2) $E[\underline{\varepsilon}] = \underline{0}$ and $\text{var}(\underline{\varepsilon}) = \sigma^2 I_n$ (homoscedasticity and uncorrelation)
 - 3) \underline{X} non-stochastic with full rank ($\text{rank}(\underline{X}) = p$)

The OLS estimator is $\hat{\underline{B}} = (\underline{X}^T \underline{X})^{-1} \underline{X}^T \underline{Y}$ (linear transformation of \underline{Y})

Even without the specification of a distribution for \underline{Y} , we can still derive the first two moments of $\hat{\underline{B}}$.

We have already computed them: $E[\hat{\underline{B}}] = \underline{\beta}$, $\text{var}(\hat{\underline{B}}) = (\underline{X}^T \underline{X})^{-1} \sigma^2$.
unbiased

GAUSS-MARKOV THM.

Consider the framework defined by assumptions (1)(2)(3).

Then the OLS estimator $\hat{\underline{B}}$ is B.L.U.E. (i.e. the Best Linear Unbiased Estimator)

 "best" = "minimum variance"

So the theorem states that, in the class of linear and unbiased estimators of $\underline{\beta}$, $\hat{\underline{B}}$ has the minimum variance.

(notice however that it doesn't mean that $\hat{\underline{B}}$ is "the best estimator overall", it is the best only if we restrict to the class of linear and unbiased).

Assume that $\tilde{\underline{B}}$ is another linear unbiased estimator.

(i.e. $\tilde{\underline{B}} = A \cdot \underline{Y}$ and $E[\tilde{\underline{B}}] = \underline{\beta}$)

The LHM states that

$$\text{var}(\tilde{\underline{B}}) \geq \text{var}(\hat{\underline{B}})$$