

Consider a generic multiple Gaussian linear model

$$\underline{Y} = \underline{X}\underline{\beta} + \underline{\varepsilon} \quad \underline{\varepsilon} \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$$

$\underline{Y}$  vector of response variables

$\underline{X}$   $n \times p$  matrix of covariates

$\underline{\beta} = [\beta_1 \ \beta_2 \ \dots \ \beta_p]^T$  vector of regression parameters

We have seen the statistical tests to evaluate the model's adequacy:

- Test about an individual coefficient

$$\begin{cases} H_0: \beta_j = 0 \\ H_1: \beta_j \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

If I do not reject  $H_0: \beta_j = 0$  for some  $j$ , I can remove that covariate from the model specification and estimate a new one with one less covariate but the same accuracy at predicting  $y$ .

- Test about a subset of coefficients

$$\begin{cases} H_0: \beta_{p+1} = \beta_{p+2} = \dots = \beta_p = 0 \\ H_1: \text{at least one is } \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Similarly, if I do not reject  $H_0$ , I can remove that subset of covariates without losing accuracy.

- Test about the overall significance

$$\begin{cases} H_0: \beta_1 = \dots = \beta_p = 0 \\ H_1: \text{not } H_0 \end{cases}$$

In this case, the model is useless.

### $R^2$ and $R^2_{\text{adj}}$ (adjusted $R^2$ )

We have seen how the coefficient  $R^2$  describes the proportion of variability explained by the model. Hence, we could think of using  $R^2$  to choose between different model specifications.

However, if I use  $R^2$  to compare nested models (i.e. one can be obtained starting from the other through a set of constraints),  $R^2$  is not a valid measure.

consider: (a)  $\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 x_i$

(b)  $\tilde{y}_i = \tilde{\beta}_1 + \tilde{\beta}_2 x_i + \tilde{\beta}_3 w_i$  | add one covariate

$R^2_{(a)} \leq R^2_{(b)}$  BY CONSTRUCTION!

Recall that  $R^2 = \frac{SSR}{SST}$

$SST = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2$  does not depend on the model  $\Rightarrow SST_{(a)} = SST_{(b)}$

However,  $SSR_{(b)} \geq SSR_{(a)}$

the SSR of model (b) can not be smaller than SSR(a).

If  $w_i$  is useful to predict  $y$ ,  $SSR_{(b)} > SSR_{(a)}$

In the worst case (if  $w_i$  is really useless), I set  $\tilde{\beta}_3 = 0$  and I obtain  $SSR_{(b)} = SSR_{(a)}$ .

The more variables I include in the model, the larger  $R^2$  will be.

So we can not use it to compare, for example, models (a) and (b) — or, in general, NESTED MODELS.

In general:

MORE COVARIATES  $\leftarrow$   $R^2$  increases  
less interpretable  
overfit

FEWER COVARIATES  $\leftarrow$  parsimony  
interpretable

of course, we want few covariates, but not too few!

• ADJUSTED  $R^2$   $R^2_{\text{adj}} = 1 - (1-R^2) \cdot \frac{n-1}{n-p}$

it is "adjusted" for the model dim.  $p$

penalizes models with many covariates.

when I introduce a new covariate:

-  $R^2$  can remain the same or increase

$R^2_{\text{adj}}$  can increase, remain the same, or decrease

$\Downarrow$   
 $R^2_{\text{adj}}$  can be  $< 0$ !

⇒ To compare nested models we can use  $R^2_{\text{adj}}$ .